

Additional file 4. Team triage and similar interventions

Author Year, reference Country	Study design	Size of emergency dept Admission rate	Intervention (I) Control (C)	Outcome	Results Intervention (I) Control (C) Difference (D)	Study quality and relevance Comments
Holroyd BR et al 2007 [40] Canada	RCT Randomisation of shifts during 3 two-week periods During each 2 week- period: 7 shifts (11 am–8 pm) with and 7 shifts without triage physician	55 000/year	I: Triage physician (initiate, assist triage, consult per telephone, discharge) N=2 831 C: No triage physician N=2 887	LOS LWBS Staff satisfaction	I: 4 hours 21 minutes C: 4 hours 57 minutes D: 36 minutes p<0.001 I: 5.4% C: 6.6% D: 1.2% p<0.02 80–90% positive	Moderate Shorter LOS and fewer LWBS with triage physician High staff satisfaction
Subash F et al 2004 [41] Northern Ireland	RCT Selection of 8 days during 4 consecutive weeks. Randomisation of 4 shifts with and 4 shifts without team triage	50 000/year	I: Team triage 9 am–12 am (physician + nurse in triage) N=530 C: No team triage N=498	LOS (during 9 am–12 am) Time to x-ray Time to analgesia	I: 37 minutes C: 82 minutes D: 45 minutes p<0.057 I: 11.5 minutes C: 44 minutes p<0.029 I: 13 minutes C: 37.5 minutes p<0.4	Low Shorter LOS and time to x- ray with team triage
Travers JP et al 2006 [42] Singapore	Observational study Prospective w retrospective control. 10 days with team triage and 10 days without team triage Only triage category 3	Size not described	I: Senior emergency physician in triage with nurse (10 am–4 pm) N=290 C: No emergency physician in triage N=286	WT to see doctor in treatment area (triage category 3)	I: 19 minutes C: 35.5 minutes D: 16.5 minutes p<0.05	Low Shorter WT with physician in triage Low numbers
Richardsson JR et al 2004 [43]	Observational study Prospective retrospective control.	39 000/year	I: Senior emergency physician in triage (to initiate treatment, order	WT to see doctor within thresholds Triage category 3	I: 78% C: 67% p<0.0001	Low Shorter WT with physician in

Australia	Three months before and 3 months after intervention		x-ray and lab and sometimes discharge) N=2 193 C: No emergency physician in triage N=1 991	Triage category 4 LWBS Staff satisfaction	I: 73% C: 53% p<0.0001 I: 5.1% C: 6.3% D: 1.2% p<0.024 86% positive	triage
Partovi SN et al 2001 [44] US	Prospective Observational study Eight Mondays 9 am to 9 pm with and 8 Mondays without team triage	52 000/year Admission rate 16%	I: With additional senior physician in triage (to order diagnostic studies, fluid, discharge direct from triage) N=920 C: Without senior physician in triage N=841	LOS LWBS	I: 363 minutes C: 445 minutes D: 82 minutes Medel: -82 minutes (95% CI = -111 to -54 minutes) I: 7.9% C: 14.7% D: 6.8% p=0.068	Moderate Shorter LOS with team triage Fewer LWBS with team triage
Grant S et al 1999 [45] Australia	Observational study. Prospective vs retrospective control 3 months before and 3 months after intervention	40 000/year	I: Rapid assessment team (physician and nurse). Initiating diagnostics and treatment. N=10 691 C: Regular triage N=10 476	WT to see doctor (median) Seen in required time LWBS (numbers (%)) LOS (median)	I: 32 minutes C: 50 minutes D: 20 minutes p<0.001 I: 59% C: 39% p<0.001 I: 518 (4.9%) C: 685 (6.4%) D: 1,5% NS I: 3.2 hours C: 3.2 hours D: 0 NS	Moderate Shorter WT with rapid assessment team Fewer LWBS Same LOS

LOS = length of stay; WT = waiting time; LWBS = left without being seen;